# NCAEP Null Findings Summary





Sallie W. Nowell, Jessica R. Steinbrenner, Kara Hume, Samuel L. Odom, and Susan Szendrey

# NCAEP Null Findings Summary

This summary was created as a companion document to the National Clearinghouse on Autism Evidence and Practice (NCAEP) systematic review article and report (see Hume et al., 2021; Steinbrenner et al., 2020; https://ncaep.fpg.unc.edu/). In that article and report, we provide supporting evidence for identified practices using studies with positive effects. We did not, however, describe practices for which studies demonstrated an absence of evidence or null effects. The objective of this document is to summarize the null findings discovered during our systematic review from 1990–2017.

An earlier version of this report referred to Ayres Sensory Integration(R) (ASI) as Sensory Integration(R) (SI). To clarify the practice for which our review found evidence, we have updated the terminology in this report to ASI and updated the null findings to differentiate between ASI and sensory diet.

## **Null Findings Definition**

Null findings are defined in this document as "no experimentally determined differences on any of the targeted outcomes between the intervention/treatment condition and a control/contrast condition". In the included studies, the experimental methodology meets our quality criteria, and thus studies are designed well enough to detect an effect if it were present. We further defined null findings for the two types of designs included in the review:

### **Group Design Null Findings Definition**

- No statistically significant difference at the p<.05 level between the intervention and control groups on any examined dependent variables.
- For treatment comparison studies, there must also be a control group that was no treatment, delayed treatment or services-as-usual.

### Single Case Design Null Findings Definition

- Fewer than three demonstrations of substantial changes (i.e., changes in level, trend, and/or variability) in the targeted outcomes when the intervention condition is implemented and/or withdrawn.
- For withdrawal of treatment designs (ABAB), the change does not occur from a baseline to treatment condition and/or from the treatment to subsequent baseline condition (i.e., a decrease in performance when the treatment is removed).
- For multiple baseline or multiple probe designs, the change does not occur when the treatment condition is implemented after the baseline condition (i.e., fewer than three demonstrations).
- For alternating treatment designs, there must be a design that
  allows for experimental control between the baseline and the
  treatments (e.g., design is combined with multiple baseline or
  ABAB, baseline is one of the alternating treatments) AND there
  is not a clear difference in performance between a baseline
  phase implemented before the alternating treatments are
  implemented OR when there is not a clear difference between
  treatments including the baseline comparison.

# **Process of Extracting Null Findings**

Our team re-reviewed the articles that had been excluded from the 2012–2017 review during our internal review phase of the independent variables (n=148), as well as all articles that had been identified by external reviewers as having "no effects"(n=65). In addition, studies with reported null findings from the 1990–2011 review period (n=83) were reviewed.

From these 296 studies that were re-reviewed by the NCAEP team, 58 were found to have null findings per our definitions above. Most of the articles were single case designs (n=47) and 11 were group designs. Ten articles were from the 1990-2011 review and 48 were from the 2012-2017 review period. The other studies (n=238) were identified as (a) a treatment comparison study without a no-intervention control, (b) a parameter analysis without a no-intervention control (e.g., robot delivered vs. human delivered intervention), (c) not meeting study quality criteria (e.g., having only two potential demonstrations of effect for a single case design study).

Figure 1. Number of articles with null effects included for each review period



# **Summary of Null Findings**

Interpreting null findings is challenging and requires nuanced considerations. A null finding may not mean that a practice does not work for some individuals on some outcomes, or that it should necessarily "count against" a previously established EBP. Rather, that under the experimental controls in a particular study, the practice is not significantly more effective than the control condition. Null findings also do not indicate that these interventions hurt or contribute to negative outcomes for participants. It is important to note that our review only examined effects on participants from birth to 22 years with a diagnosis of autism. There were instances where positive effects were found for parent participants (e.g., Harrop et al., 2016) but null effects for child participants in a parent-implemented intervention (PII). It is also possible that these practices are effective for older adults with autism or individuals with diagnoses other than autism but had null effects for individuals in our review population.

The 58 articles with null findings examined 24 intervention practices. Most of these practices (22 of the 24) were identified as EBPs in the larger review of positive intervention effects. Art-mediated intervention and sensory diets were the only practices found in the null findings review that have not qualified as an EBP. Notably, the sensory diet study (Moore et al., 2015) called the intervention "sensory integration" but it was not Ayres Sensory Integration®. Table 1 summarizes the practices, their null findings, and the participant outcomes for which the practices had null effects. Note that, just as in our review of positive effects, some studies count toward multiple practices if the intervention implemented used multiple practices.

There were some practices within EBP categories that had substantial evidence of null effects with individuals with autism. For instance, within Antecedent-Based Interventions (ABI), five of the nine identified studies reported null effects for "deep pressure therapy" (e.g., Losinski et al., 2017) including weighted vests (Leew et al., 2010; Watkins et al., 2014), weighted blankets (Gringas et al., 2014), and wrist weights (Demanche et al., 2013) for challenging and interfering behavior outcomes. One of these was a randomized controlled study (Gringas et al., 2014) finding null effects for weighted blankets on sleep outcomes. Collectively, these null findings provide substantial evidence against use of deep pressure therapy for challenging and interfering behavior.

In addition, multiple foundational EBPs (e.g., Prompting, Reinforcement, Visual Supports) have some evidence of null effects, as do two EBPs that recently met review criteria as EBPs (i.e., Music-Mediated Intervention, Sensory Integration®). This may demonstrate the variability of individuals with autism and how practices must be individualized to meet specific needs. Even foundational practices do not have positive effects with all individuals with autism for all goals/outcomes and group interventions almost certainly have non-responders, even in studies with significant effects.

### **Discussion**

Studies with null findings are subject to publication bias and thus are less likely to be published than studies with positive effects. Therefore, it is possible that there are additional null findings not available for review. A promising trend in the field, in an effort to address this publication bias, is the identification of nearly five times more published null findings in the 2012-2017 review as those found in the 1990-2011 review.

Null finding literature can be particularly valuable in studies of treatment comparison or parameter analysis where the results can provide critical information in identifying what interventions are most effective for whom and under what circumstances. Given the breadth of the autism intervention literature, selecting EBPs to meet the goals of individual students is a daunting task. This summary of null findings is an additional tool that can assist practitioners and researchers in that process.

Table 1. Practices, Number of Studies and Outcomes with Null Effects and Positive Effects Note: See full report, Table 3.1 on pp. 28–29

Intervention Practice	Number of Studies with Null Findings	Number of Studies with Positive Effects	Student outcome(s) for which the practice had null effects
Antecedent Based Interventions (ABI)	9	49	Joint attention, Challenging/ interfering behavior, School readiness
Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC)	5	44	Communication, Social
Art-Mediated Intervention	1	0	Social
Cognitive Behavioral/ Instructional Strategies (CBIS)	2	50	Challenging/interfering behavior, Academic/pre-academic
Differential Reinforcement of Alternative, Incompatible, or Other Behavior (DR)	2	58	Challenging/interfering behavior, Social
Discrete Trial Training (DTT)	3	38	Communication, School readiness
Exercise and Movement (EXM)	2	17	School readiness, Motor, Social
Extinction (EXT)	2	25	Communication, Challenging/ interfering behavior

Intervention Practice	# of null findings	# of positive findings	Student outcomes with null findings
Functional Communication Training (FCT)	1	31	Communication
Modeling (MD)	2	28	Academic/pre-academic, Play, Challenging/interfering behavior
Music-Mediated Intervention (MMI)	2	7	Social
Naturalistic Intervention (NI)	1	75	Challenging/interfering behavior, Social
Parent Implemented Intervention (PII)	4	55	Joint attention, Social, Challenging/interfering behavior
Peer-Based Instruction and Intervention (PBII)	2	44	Social, Communication, Challenging/interfering behavior
Prompting (PP)	7	140	Academic/pre-academic, Play, Challenging/interfering behavior, Communication, Adaptive/self help, School readiness, Social
Reinforcement (R)	8	106	School readiness, Challenging/ interfering behavior
Response Interruption and Redirection (RIR)	1	29	Challenging/interfering behavior
Self Management (SM)	1	26	Social
Sensory Diet	1	0	Challenging/interfering behavior
Social Narratives (SN)	2	21	Social, Communication
Social Skills Training (SST)	1	74	Social
Technology Aided Instruction and Intervention (TAII)	8	40	Communication, Play, Social, Cognitive, Motor, School readiness
Video Modeling (VM)	3	97	Adaptive/self help, Social
Visual Supports (VS)	2	65	Challenging/interfering behavior, Social, Communication

### References

### **Antecedent Based Interventions (ABI)**

- Demanche, J., & Chok, J. T. (2013). The use of wrist weights and vibratory stimulation to treat self-injurious behavior. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 25(1), 79–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-012-9304-2
- Gringras, P., Green, D., Wright, B., Rush, C., Sparrowhawk, M., Pratt, K., Allgar, V., Hooke, N., Moore, D., Zaiwalla, Z., & Wiggs, L. (2014). Weighted blankets and sleep in autistic children—A randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics*, 134(2), 298–306. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-4285
- LaFrance, D. L., Miguel, C. F., Donahue, J. N., & Fechter, T. R. (2015). A case study on the use of auditory integration training as a treatment for stereotypy. *Behavioral Interventions*, 30(3), 286–293. https://doi.org/10.1002/bin.1415
- Leew, S. V., Stein, N. G., & Gibbard, W. B. (2010). Weighted vests' effect on social attention for toddlers with autism spectrum disorders. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 77(2), 113–124. https://doi.org/10.2182/cjot.2010.77.2.7
- Losinski, M., Cook, K., Hirsch, S., & Sanders, S. (2017). The effects of deep pressure therapies and antecedent exercise on stereotypical behaviors of students with autism spectrum disorders. *Behavioral Disorders*, 42(4), 196–208. https://doi.org/10.1177/0198742917715873
- Murdock, L. C., Dantzler, J. A., Walker, A. N., & Wood, L. B. (2014). The effect of a platform swing on the independent work behaviors of children with autism spectrum disorders. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 29(1), 50–61. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357613509838
- Reed, P., Altweck, L., Broomfield, L., Simpson, A., & McHugh, L. (2012). Effect of observing-response procedures on overselectivity in individuals with autism spectrum disorders. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 27(4), 237–246. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357612457986
- Watkins, N., & Rapp, J. T. (2014). Environmental enrichment and response cost:

  Immediate and subsequent effects on stereotypy. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 47(1), 186–191. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.97
- Watkins, N., & Sparling, E. (2014). The effectiveness of the Snug Vest on stereotypic behaviors in children diagnosed with an autism spectrum disorder. *Behavior modification*, 38(3), 412–427. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445514532128

### Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC)

Boesch, M. C., Wendt, O., Subramanian, A., & Hsu, N. (2013). Comparative efficacy of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) versus a speech-generating device: effects on social-communicative skills and speech development.

Augmentative and Alternative Communication, 29(3), 197–209. https://doi.org/10.3109/07434618.2013.818059

- Cannella–Malone, H. I., Fant, J. L., & Tullis, C. A. (2010). Using the picture exchange communication system to increase the social communication of two individuals with severe developmental disabilities. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 22(2), 149–163. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-009-9174-4
- Ganz, J. B., Hong, E. R., Goodwyn, F., Kite, E., & Gilliland, W. (2015). Impact of PECS tablet computer app on receptive identification of pictures given a verbal stimulus.

  \*Developmental neurorehabilitation\*, 18(2), 82–87. https://doi.org/10.3109/1751842
  3.2013.821539
- Greenberg, A. L., Tomaino, M. E., & Charlop, M. H. (2014). Adapting the picture exchange communication system to elicit vocalizations in children with autism. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 26(1), 35–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-013-9344-2
- Tan, X. Y., Trembath, D., Bloomberg, K., Iacono, T., & Caithness, T. (2014). Acquisition and generalization of key word signing by three children with autism. *Developmental neurorehabilitation*, 17(2), 125–136. https://doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2013.863236

### **Art-Mediated Intervention**

Richard, D. A., More, W., & Joy, S. P. (2015). Recognizing emotions: Testing an intervention for children with autism spectrum disorders. *Art Therapy*, 32(1), 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421656.2014.994163

### Cognitive Behavioral/Instructional Strategies (CBIS)

- Morton, R. C., & Gadke, D. L. (2018). A comparison of math cover, copy, compare intervention procedures for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Behavior analysis in practice*, 11(1), 80–84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617-017-0181-0
- Singh, N. N., Lancioni, G. E., Singh, A. D., Winton, A. S., Singh, A. N., & Singh, J. (2011).

  Adolescents with Asperger syndrome can use a mindfulness-based strategy to control their aggressive behavior. *Research in autism spectrum disorders*, 5(3), 1103–1109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2010.12.006

### Differential Reinforcement of Alternative, Incompatible, or Other Behavior (DR)

- Campos, C., Leon, Y., Sleiman, A., & Urcuyo, B. (2017). Further evaluation of the use of multiple schedules for behavior maintained by negative reinforcement. *Behavior modification*, 41(2), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445516670838
- Edgerton, L., & Wine, B. (2017). Speak up: Increasing conversational volume in a child with autism spectrum disorder. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*, 10(4), 407–410. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617–016–0168–2

### Discrete Trial Training (DTT)

- Byrne, B. L., Rehfeldt, R. A., & Aguirre, A. A. (2014). Evaluating the effectiveness of the stimulus pairing observation procedure and multiple exemplar instruction on tact and listener responses in children with autism. *The Analysis of Verbal Behavior*, 30(2), 160–169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40616-014-0020-0
- Chebli, S. S., Lanovaz, M. J., & Dufour, M. M. (2017). Generalization following tabletbased instruction in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 32(2), 70–79. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643416681499
- Kodak, T., Clements, A., Paden, A. R., LeBlanc, B., Mintz, J., & Toussaint, K. A. (2015).
  Examination of the relation between an assessment of skills and performance on auditory–visual conditional discriminations for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 48(1), 52–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.160

### Exercise and Movement (EXM)

- Srinivasan, S. M., Kaur, M., Park, I. K., Gifford, T. D., Marsh, K. L., & Bhat, A. N. (2015). The effects of rhythm and robotic interventions on the imitation/praxis, interpersonal synchrony, and motor performance of children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD): a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Autism research and treatment*, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/736516
- Watson, A., & Kelso, G. L. (2014). The Effect of Brain Gym® on Academic Engagement for Children with Developmental Disabilities. *International Journal of Special Education*, 29(2), 75–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.05.032

### **Extinction (EXT)**

- Campos, C., Leon, Y., Sleiman, A., & Urcuyo, B. (2017). Further evaluation of the use of multiple schedules for behavior maintained by negative reinforcement. *Behavior modification*, 41(2), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445516670838
- Groskreutz, N. C., Groskreutz, M. P., Bloom, S. E., & Slocum, T. A. (2014). Generalization of negatively reinforced mands in children with autism. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 47(3), 560–579. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.151

### Functional Communication Training (FCT)

Groskreutz, N. C., Groskreutz, M. P., Bloom, S. E., & Slocum, T. A. (2014). Generalization of negatively reinforced mands in children with autism. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 47(3), 560–579. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.151

### Modeling (MD)

- Levingston, H. B., Neef, N. A., & Cihon, T. M. (2009). The effects of teaching precurrent behaviors on children's solution of multiplication and division word problems. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 42(2), 361–367. https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2009.42–361
- Luiselli, J. K., Ricciardi, J. N., Zubow, M., & Laster, K. (2004). Practice of an alternative behavior as intervention for object stereotypy: Comparison of contingent and noncontingent implementation across evoking stimuli. *The Behavior Analyst Today*, 5(3), 304. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0100037

### Music-Mediated Intervention (MMI)

- Pasiali, V. (2004). The use of prescriptive therapeutic songs in a home-based environment to promote social skills acquisition by children with autism: Three case studies. *Music Therapy Perspectives*, 22(1), 11–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/mtp/22.1.11
- Bieleninik, Ł., Geretsegger, M., Mössler, K., Assmus, J., Thompson, G., Gattino, G., ... & Gold, C. (2017). Effects of improvisational music therapy vs enhanced standard care on symptom severity among children with autism spectrum disorder: the TIME-A randomized clinical trial. *Jama*, 318(6), 525–535. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.9478

### Naturalistic Intervention (NI)

Tee, A., & Reed, P. (2017). Controlled study of the impact on child behaviour problems of intensive interaction for children with ASD. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 17(3), 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12376

### Parent Implemented Intervention (PII)

- Byford, S., Cary, M., Barrett, B., Aldred, C. R., Charman, T., Howlin, P., Hudry, K., Leadbitter, K., Le Couteur, A., McConachie, H., Pickles, A., Slonims, V., Temple, K. J., Green, J., & PACT Consortium. (2015). Cost–effectiveness analysis of a communication–focused therapy for pre–school children with autism: results from a randomised controlled trial. *BMC psychiatry*, 15(1), 316. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-015-0700-x
- Harrop, C., Gulsrud, A., Shih, W., Hovsepyan, L., & Kasari, C. (2017). The impact of caregiver-mediated JASPER on child restricted and repetitive behaviors and caregiver responses. *Autism Research*, 10(5), 983–992. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1732

- Hollway, J. A., Mendoza-Burcham, M., Andridge, R., Aman, M. G., Handen, B., Arnold, L. E., Lecavalier, L., Williams, C., Silverman, L., & Smith, T. (2018). Atomoxetine, parent training, and their effects on sleep in youth with autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 28(2), 130–135. https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2017.0085
- Loughrey, T. O., Contreras, B. P., Majdalany, L. M., Rudy, N., Sinn, S., Teague, P., Marshall, G., McGreevy, P., & Harvey, A. C. (2014). Caregivers as interventionists and trainers: Teaching mands to children with developmental disabilities. *The Analysis of Verbal Behavior*, 30(2), 128–140. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40616-014-0005-z

### Peer-Based Instruction and Intervention (PBII)

- Reilly, C., Hughes, C., Harvey, M., Brigham, N., Cosgriff, J., Kaplan, L., & Bernstein, R. (2014). "Let's Talk!": Increasing Novel Peer–Directed Questions by High School Students with Autism to Their General Education Peers. *Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities*, 214–231.
- Tsao, L. L., & Odom, S. L. (2006). Sibling–mediated social interaction intervention for young children with autism. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 26(2), 106–123. https://doi.org/10.1177/02711214060260020101

### Prompting (PP)

- Bouck, E. C., Satsangi, R., & Bartlett, W. (2016). Comparing a number line and audio prompts in supporting price comparison by students with intellectual disability. Research in Developmental Disabilities, 53, 342–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2016.02.011
- DiCola, K., & Clayton, M. (2017). Using arbitrary stimuli to teach say-do correspondence to children with autism. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy*, 17(2), 149-160.
- Fleury, V. P., & Schwartz, I. S. (2017). A modified dialogic reading intervention for preschool children with autism spectrum disorder. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 37(1), 16–28. https://doi.org/10.1177/0271121416637597
- Grosberg, D., & Charlop, M. H. (2017). Teaching conversational speech to children with autism spectrum disorder using text-message prompting. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 50(4), 789–804. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.403
- Levingston, H. B., Neef, N. A., & Cihon, T. M. (2009). The effects of teaching precurrent behaviors on children's solution of multiplication and division word problems. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 42(2), 361–367. https://doi.org/10.1901/jaba.2009.42-361

- Luiselli, J. K., Ricciardi, J. N., Zubow, M., & Laster, K. (2004). Practice of an alternative behavior as intervention for object stereotypy: Comparison of contingent and noncontingent implementation across evoking stimuli. *The Behavior Analyst Today*, 5(3), 304. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0100037
- Sng, C. Y., Carter, M., & Stephenson, J. (2017). Teaching a student with autism spectrum disorder on-topic conversational responses with an iPad: A pilot study.

  \*\*Australasian Journal of Special Education, 41(1), 18–34. https://doi.org/10.1017/jse.2016.9

### Reinforcement (R)

- Axe, J. B., & Laprime, A. P. (2017). The effects of contingent pairing on establishing praise as a reinforcer with children with autism. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 29(2), 325–340. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-016-9526-9
- Campos, C., Leon, Y., Sleiman, A., & Urcuyo, B. (2017). Further evaluation of the use of multiple schedules for behavior maintained by negative reinforcement. Behavior modification, 41(2), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445516670838
- DiCola, K., & Clayton, M. (2017). Using arbitrary stimuli to teach say-do correspondence to children with autism. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy*, 17(2), 149-160.
- Rodriguez, P. P., & Gutierrez, A. (2017). A comparison of two procedures to condition social stimuli to function as reinforcers for children with autism. *Behavioral Development Bulletin*, 22(1), 159. https://doi.org/10.1037/bdb0000059
- Silbaugh, B. C., & Falcomata, T. S. (2017). Translational evaluation of a lag schedule and variability in food consumed by a boy with autism and food selectivity.

  \*Developmental neurorehabilitation, 20(5), 309–312. https://doi.org/10.3109/17518 423.2016.1146364
- Soutor, T. A., Houlihan, D., & Young, A. (1994). An examination of response covariation in the behavioral treatment of identical twin boys with multiple behavioral disorders. *Behavioral Interventions*, 9(3), 141–155. https://doi.org/10.1002/bin.2360090302
- Taylor-Santa, C., Sidener, T. M., Carr, J. E., & Reeve, K. F. (2014). A discrimination training procedure to establish conditioned reinforcers for children with autism. *Behavioral Interventions*, 29(2), 157-176. https://doi.org/10.1002/bin.1384
- Ward-Horner, J. C., Muehlberger, A. O., Vedora, J., & Ross, R. K. (2017). Effects of reinforcer magnitude and quality on preference for response-reinforcer arrangements in young children with autism. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*, 10(2), 183–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617-017-0185-9

### Response Interruption and Redirection (RIR)

Campos, C., Leon, Y., Sleiman, A., & Urcuyo, B. (2017). Further evaluation of the use of multiple schedules for behavior maintained by negative reinforcement. *Behavior modification*, 41(2), 269–285. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145445516670838

### Self Management (SM)

Ganz, J. B., Heath, A. K., Davis, J. L., & Vannest, K. J. (2013). Effects of a self-monitoring device on socially relevant behaviors in adolescents with Asperger disorder: A pilot study. *Assistive Technology*, 25(3), 149–157. https://doi.org/10.1080/10400435.2012.732655

### **Sensory Diet**

Moore, K. M., Cividini-Motta, C., Clark, K. M., & Ahearn, W. H. (2015). Sensory integration as a treatment for automatically maintained stereotypy. *Behavioral Interventions*, 30(2), 95–111. https://doi.org/10.1002/bin.1405

### Social Narratives (SN)

- Hanley–Hochdorfer, K., Bray, M. A., Kehle, T. J., & Elinoff, M. J. (2010). Social stories to increase verbal initiation in children with autism and Asperger's disorder. *School Psychology Review*, 39(3), 484–492. https://doi.org/10.1080/02796015.2010.12087
- Scattone, D., Tingstrom, D. H., & Wilczynski, S. M. (2006). Increasing appropriate social interactions of children with autism spectrum disorders using Social Stories<sup>TM</sup>.

  Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 21(4), 211–222. https://doi.org/10.1177/10883576060210040201

### Social Skills Training (SST)

Reilly, C., Hughes, C., Harvey, M., Brigham, N., Cosgriff, J., Kaplan, L., & Bernstein, R. (2014). "Let's Talk!": Increasing Novel Peer–Directed Questions by High School Students with Autism to Their General Education Peers. Education and Training in Autism and Developmental Disabilities, 214–231.

### Technology Aided Instruction and Intervention (TAII)

Chebli, S. S., Lanovaz, M. J., & Dufour, M. M. (2017). Generalization following tablet-based instruction in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 32(2), 70–79. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643416681499

- Esposito, M., Sloan, J., Tancredi, A., Gerardi, G., Postiglione, P., Fotia, F., Napoli, E., Mazzone, L. Valeri, G., & Vicari, S. (2017). Using tablet applications for children with autism to increase their cognitive and social skills. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 32(4), 199–209. https://doi.org/10.1177/0162643417719751
- Ganz, J. B., Hong, E. R., Goodwyn, F., Kite, E., & Gilliland, W. (2015). Impact of PECS tablet computer app on receptive identification of pictures given a verbal stimulus.

  \*Developmental neurorehabilitation, 18(2), 82–87. https://doi.org/10.3109/17518423.2013.821539
- Huskens, B., Palmen, A., Van der Werff, M., Lourens, T., & Barakova, E. (2015). Improving collaborative play between children with autism spectrum disorders and their siblings: The effectiveness of a robot–mediated intervention based on Lego® therapy. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders*, 45(11), 3746–3755. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2326-0
- Neff, E. R., Betz, A. M., Saini, V., & Henry, E. (2017). Using video modeling to teach siblings of children with autism how to prompt and reinforce appropriate play. Behavioral Interventions, 32(3), 193–205. https://doi.org/10.1002/bin.1479
- Reed, P., Altweck, L., Broomfield, L., Simpson, A., & McHugh, L. (2012). Effect of observing-response procedures on overselectivity in individuals with autism spectrum disorders. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 27(4), 237–246. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357612457986
- Sng, C. Y., Carter, M., & Stephenson, J. (2017). Teaching a student with autism spectrum disorder on-topic conversational responses with an iPad: A pilot study.

  Australasian Journal of Special Education, 41(1), 18–34. https://doi.org/10.1017/jse.2016.9
- Srinivasan, S. M., Kaur, M., Park, I. K., Gifford, T. D., Marsh, K. L., & Bhat, A. N. (2015). The effects of rhythm and robotic interventions on the imitation/praxis, interpersonal synchrony, and motor performance of children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD): a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Autism research and treatment*, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/736516

### Video Modeling (VM)

- Buggey, T. (2012). Effectiveness of video self–modeling to promote social initiations by 3-year-olds with autism spectrum disorders. Focus on *Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 27(2), 102–110. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088357612441826
- Dotson, W. H., Rasmussen, E. E., Shafer, A., Colwell, M., Densley, R. L., Brewer, A. T., Alonzo, M. C. & Martinez, L. A. (2017). Evaluating the ability of the PBS children's show Daniel Tiger's Neighborhood to teach skills to two young children with autism spectrum disorder. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*, 10(1), 67–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617-016-0134-z

Drysdale, B., Lee, C. Y. Q., Anderson, A., & Moore, D. W. (2015). Using video modeling incorporating animation to teach toileting to two children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 27(2), 149–165. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-014-9405-1

### Visual Supports (VS)

- Bouck, E. C., Satsangi, R., & Bartlett, W. (2016). Comparing a number line and audio prompts in supporting price comparison by students with intellectual disability. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 53, 342–357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2016.02.011
- Pushkarenko, K., Reid, G., & Smith, V. (2016). Effects of enhanced structure in an aquatics environment for three boys with autism spectrum disorders: A pilot study. Journal on Developmental Disabilities, 22(2), 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ridd.2012.08.025



